



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/910,054 | 07/23/2001 | Mayumi Tomikawa | 522.1921D | 4839 |

21171 7590 07/13/2007
STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

MORAN, MARJORIE A

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1631

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

07/13/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/910,054 | Applicant(s) TOMIKAWA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Marjorie Moran | Art Unit 1631 | |

All Participants:

(1) Marjorie Moran.

(2) DJ Stockley.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 June 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Marjorie A. Moran
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Stockley referred to the Notice of Allowability mailed 5/17/07, with regard to priority, and pointed out that 2/6/1993 was a Saturday, thus the claim for priority is proper as the US application was filed on the next possible business day. The examiner agreed with the attorney; 2/6/1992 was a Thursday, but 2/6/1993 was indeed a Saturday. The examiner stated that the priority claim is proper and will be granted upon filing of the certified copies of the foreign applications.